1 2	BEVERIDGE & DIAMOND, P.C. Kathryn E. Szmuszkovicz (D.C. Bar No. 3887 kes@bdlaw.com	jmklise@crowell.com
3	Anthony L. Michaels (D.C. Bar No. 458510)* alm@bdlaw.com	Kirsten L. Nathanson (D.C. Bar No. 463992)*
4	Patrick R. Jacobi (D.C. Bar No. 974532)* pjacobi@bdlaw.com	knathanson@crowell.com 1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
5	Sean M. Roberts (D.C. Bar No. 984495)* sroberts@bdlaw.com	Washington, D.C. 20004-2595 T: (202) 624-2500 F: (202) 628-5516
6	1350 I Street, N.W., Suite 700 Washington, D.C. 20005-3311 T: (202) 789-6000 F: (202) 789-6190	
7		CROWELL A MORRIGUER
8	BEVERIDGE & DIAMOND, P.C. Gary J. Smith (SBN 141393)	CROWELL & MORING LLP M. Kay Martin (SBN 154697)
9	gsmith@bdlaw.com 456 Montgomery Street, Suite 1800	mmartin@crowell.com 275 Battery Street, 23rd Floor
	San Francisco, CA 94104-1251	San Francisco, CA 94111 T: (415) 986-2800 F: (415) 986-2827
10	T: (415) 262-4000 F: (415) 262-4040	
11	Attorneys for Proposed Defendant-Intervenors Bayer CropScience * Pro Hac Vice pending LP, Syngenta Crop Protection, LLC, and Valent U.S.A. Corporation THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
12		
13		
14		
15	STEVE ELLIS, et al.,	Case No. 3:13-cv-01266-MMC
16	Plaintiffs, v.	PROPOSED ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR LEAVE TO INTERVENE OF BAYER CROSSCIENCE LE
17	STEVEN P. BRADBURY, et al.,	OF BAYER CROPSCIENCE LP, SYNGENTA CROP PROTECTION, LLC, AND VALENT U.S.A. CORPORATION
18	Defendants,	
19	and	
20	BAYER CROPSCIENCE LP, SYNGENTA	
21	CROP PROTECTION, LLC, AND VALENT U.S.A. CORPORATION,	
22	Proposed Defendant-Intervenors.	
23	The Court has reviewed the Defendant-Intervenors Bayer CropScience LP, Syngenta Crop	
24	Protection, LLC, and Valent U.S.A. Corporation's Motion for Leave to Intervene. Upon due	
25	the statements of non-opposition, consideration of this Motion, supporting arguments, and opposition thereto, the Court finds that all	
26	factors for intervention as of right under Rule 24(a)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure are	
27	satisfied. The motion is timely, the Defendant-Intervenors have a significantly protectable interest	
28	that will be impaired by any adverse disposition, and the current parties may not adequately	
	Case No. 3:13-cv-01266-MMC	MOTION FOR LEAVE TO INTERVENE

PROPOSED ORDER GRANTING REGISTRANTS' MOTION FOR LEAVE TO INTERVENE

1	represent the Defendant-Intervenors' interests. The Motion for Leave to Intervene as of right	
2	GRANTED as to all claims and all stages in the above-captioned matter. ¹	
3	IT IS SO ORDERED	
4	Dated: August 6, 2013 Maline W. Cheller	
5	The Honorable Maxine M. Chesn	
6	United States Judge	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26	¹ The hearing scheduled for August 30, 2013 is VACATED.	
27		